



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/609,185	06/30/2000	Hiroiyuki Fujita	S004-4040	2679

7590 07/08/2003
Adama & Wilks
Attorneys and Counselors At Law
50 Broadway
31st Floor
New York, NY 10004

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HOAN C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2871

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/609,185

Applicant(s)

FUJITA ET AL.

Examiner

HOAN C. NGUYEN

Art Unit

2871

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5 and 10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,6-9 and 11-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 10 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ 6) ☐ Other: ____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/16/2003 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Applicants cancelled claims 1, 6-9 and 11-20.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 7/2/1999 and 6/20/2000. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 11-1885 and 2000-196544 applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujii et al. (US005914763A) in view of Uchiyama et al. (US5737272A).

Fujii et al. (Figs. 1, 4-7, 11-13) disclose a display device comprising:

- an insulating/glass substrate 311 on which a group of display electrodes 40,
- a group of wiring electrodes 41 and a group of connection pads are formed,
- the wiring electrodes being connected to the connection pads and the display electrodes and being arranged in at least one group;
- an opposing substrate 312 opposed to the insulating substrate;
- a display material contained in a spacing between the insulating substrate and the opposing substrate in a sealed manner;
- a dummy electrode 45 provided on the insulating substrate adjacent an outermost wiring electrode of each group of wiring electrodes,
- the dummy electrode not being connected to any of the connection pads;

wherein the display electrodes are transparent electrodes,

However, Fujii fails to disclose

(a) connection pads are formed and the wiring electrodes being connected to the connection pad.

(b) the wiring electrodes are metalized by plating only portions of the transparent electrodes extending beyond a side edge of the opposing substrate.

It is conventional art that to formed connection pads, which are connected wiring electrodes for electrical contact with external driving chip circuit such as PCB or TAB.

Uchiyama et al. teach (Fig. 4, col. 11 lines 38-46) the wiring electrodes are metalized at connection member 19 by plating only portions of the transparent electrodes extending beyond a side edge of the opposing substrate for establishing electrical connections.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a display device as Fujii disclosed with (a) a connection pads are formed and the wiring electrodes being connected to the connection pad; (b) the wiring electrodes are metalized at connection member 19 by plating only portions of the transparent electrodes extending beyond a side edge of the opposing substrate for establishing electrical connections.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: There is no prior art teaches the wiring electrodes and the dummy electrode that are plated by non-electrolytic plating.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

HOAN C. NGUYEN
Examiner
Art Unit 2871

chn
June 16, 2003


HOAN C. NGUYEN
SUPERVISOR
JUN 16 2003